1	YAAKOV M. ROTH	
2	Deputy Assistant Attorney General PATRICIA M. McCARTHY Director, National Courts CLAUDIA BURKE Deputy Director, National Courts JUSTIN R. MILLER	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	U.S. Department of Justice	
9	Civil Division Commercial Litigation Branch 26 Federal Plaza, Suite 346 New York, New York, 10278	
10		
11		
12	luke.mathers@usdoj.gov	
13	Attorneys for Defendants	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16		
17	SAN FRANC	CISCO DIVISION
18		
19	STATE OF CALIFORNIA and GAVIN ) NEWSOM, in his official capacity as Governor )	Case No. 3:25-cv-03372-JSC
20	of California,	DECLARATION OF LUKE MATHERS IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS'
21	Plaintiffs,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF
22	v. )	
23	DONALD J. TRUMP, et al.,	
24	Defendants.	
25	1. I am a Trial Attorney in the U.S. D	epartment of Justice, Civil Division, Commercial
26	Litigation Branch, International Trade Field Office, in New York, New York. I am one of the attorneys	
27	responsible for representing defendants in the above-captioned case. This declaration is based on	
28	_	
	DECLARATION OF LUKE MATHERS No. 3:25-cv-03372-JSC 1	

personal knowledge that I obtained in the performance of my official duties.

- This declaration is submitted pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11(a), to explain why a stipulation could not be obtained from plaintiffs State of California and Gavin Newsom to provide the relief requested in defendants' administrative motion for leave to file a supplemental brief.
- 3. On May 29, 2025, I emailed counsel for plaintiffs asking whether plaintiffs would stipulate to the relief requested in defendants' administrative motion.
- 4. On May 29, 2025, counsel for plaintiffs responded that they would stipulate only if defendants stipulated in advance that plaintiffs could file a response.
- 5. On May 29, 2025, I emailed counsel for plaintiffs asking whether plaintiffs would instead not oppose the relief requested in defendants' administrative motion.
- 6. On May 29, 2025, counsel for plaintiffs responded that they were willing to not oppose defendants' administrative motion only if defendants agreed in advance to not oppose a future administrative motion by plaintiffs to file a response; otherwise, plaintiffs reserved their rights to oppose defendants' administrative motion.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this 30th day of May, 2025.

s/Luke Mathers LUKE MATHERS Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice Civil Division 26 Federal Plaza, Room 346 New York, New York 10278 (212) 264-9236 luke.mathers@usdoj.gov

22

23

24

25 26

27

28